Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/768,886	YANG ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Vinod Kumar	1638
All Participants:	Status of Applicatio	n:
(1) <u>Vinod Kumar</u> .	(3)	•
(2) Angela Foster.	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>9/25/07</u>	Time: <u>3:30 pm</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:	] Applicant's representative) No	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: 35 U.S.C. 112 first and second paragraphs, 35 U.S.C. 1	(03(a)	
Claims discussed: 6-10, 26, 28, 32, 36, 38, 42, 44, and 51-55.		•
Prior art documents discussed: Wen et al.		·
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE	E GENERAL NATURE OF WHA	T WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sed directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sed</li> </ul>	tion. The examiner will provide	a written summary of the substance
did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief	summary by the examiner appear	ars in Part II above.
		•
2/100 Theren		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (A	Applicant/Applicant's Representa	tive Signature – if appropriate)